

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN DIVISION

CHRIS NIX,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:05-CV-1044-ID
	)	[WO]
	)	
ANTHONY CLARK,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

This cause is before the court on a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint filed by Chris Nix [“Nix”], a county inmate, on October 31, 2005. The defendant filed a written report in compliance with the orders of this court in which he addressed each of the plaintiff’s claims for relief. Specifically, the defendant submitted relevant evidentiary materials that refuted the allegations presented in the instant cause of action. The court thereafter issued an order directing Nix to file a response to the written report. *See Order of December 15, 2005 - Court Doc. No. 8.* The order advised Nix that his failure to respond to the defendants’ written report would be treated by the court **“as an abandonment of the claims set forth in the complaint and as a failure to prosecute this action.”** *Id.* at 1 (emphasis in original). Additionally, the order **“specifically cautioned [the plaintiff] that [his failure] to file a response in compliance with the directives of this order”** would result in the dismissal of this civil action. *Id.* The time allotted Nix for filing a response to this order expired on

January 5, 2006. As of the present date, Nix has filed nothing in opposition to the defendant's written report as required by the orders of this court. In light of the foregoing, the court concludes that this case should be dismissed.

The court has reviewed the file in this case to determine whether a less drastic measure than dismissal is appropriate. After such review, it is clear that dismissal of this case is the proper course of action. Nix is an indigent county inmate. Thus, the imposition of monetary or other punitive sanctions against him would be ineffectual. Additionally, Nix has exhibited a lack of respect for this court and its authority as he has failed to comply with the directives of the orders entered in this case. It is therefore apparent that any additional effort by this court to secure the plaintiff's compliance would be unavailing. Moreover, the response and undisputed evidentiary materials filed by the defendant indicate that the plaintiff is not entitled to any relief in this cause of action. Consequently, the court concludes that the plaintiff's abandonment of his claims, his failure to comply with the orders of this court and his failure to prosecute this cause of action warrant dismissal of this case.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be dismissed without prejudice. It is further

ORDERED that on or before January 31, 2006 the parties may file objections to the Recommendation. Any objections filed must specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which the party is objecting. Frivolous, conclusive

or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and advisements in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District Court of issues covered in the Recommendation and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the Recommendation accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). *See Stein v. Reynolds Securities, Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). *See also Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981, *en banc*), adopting as binding precedent all of the decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on September 30, 1981.

Done this 18<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2006.

/s/Charles S. Coody  
CHARLES S. COODY  
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE